ADVENTURER EXPLORER TRAILBLAZER REBEL PIONEER CREATOR DEFENDER ADVENTURER EXPLORER TRAILBLAZ

REBEL PIONEER CREATOR DEFENDER ADVENTURER EXPLORER TRANSPILATER REBEL PIONEER CREATOR DEFENDER ADVENTURER EXPLORER TRANSPILATER REBEL PIONEER CREATOR DEFEND

Early Interventions for Psychosis

An assessment of an early intervention program in Winnipeg

A PATHS Equity for Children Project







Authors

Jason Randall, Dan Chateau, Carole Taylor, James Bolton, Laurence Katz, Patricia Martens, Alan Katz, Mark Smith, Colette Raymond, Elaine Burland, Joykrishna Sarkar, Milton Hu, Chun Yan Goh, Marni Brownell, Nathan Nickel, the PATHS team.



Background

The Early Psychosis Prevention and Intervention Service (EPPIS)

- Established 2003
- Intervention for those with first-episode of psychosis
- Based on previous early intervention programs
- Assertive community treatment (ACT)
 - Assertive case management
 - Community treatment

Emphasized:

- adherence to medication,
- psychotherapy (including group therapy),
- and involvement of family in treatment





Previous research

Background

- Reducing hospital-based treatment
- Multiple studies on hospital-based outcomes
 - No symptom measures in the Admin data
- Inconsistent significance
- Small samples/low power
- Favoured intervention





Systematic review

Review and meta-analysis

- To pool effect size estimates to determine significance Inclusion Criteria
- Early intervention program (ACT framework)
- First-episode/early psychosis patients
- Control group
- Hospital outcomes (bed days used, any hospitalization)
- Published in English





Systematic review

Data extraction

Bed-days outcome

- Means and SD for Treatment and control groups
- Extracted from treatment cost evaluations

Hospitalization outcome

Number hospitalized and group N





Systematic review

Meta-analysis of ever hospitalized

Interver	ntion	TAU	J		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95% CI	M-H, Random, 95% CI
40	62	50	62	8.4%	0.44 [0.19, 0.99]	
189	275	197	272	9.4%	0.84 [0.58, 1.21]	-+
54	75	54	64	8.3%	0.48 [0.21, 1.11]	
328	700	635	700	9.5%	0.09 [0.07, 0.12]	+
23	69	33	67	8.7%	0.52 [0.26, 1.03]	
105	169	105	122	8.9%	0.27 [0.15, 0.48]	
34	102	82	82	3.3%	0.00 [0.00, 0.05]	←
65	146	79	159	9.3%	0.81 [0.52, 1.28]	 -
10	30	10	20	7.3%	0.50 [0.16, 1.59]	
43	51	51	51	3.2%	0.05 [0.00, 0.89]	
34	60	50	62	8.4%	0.31 [0.14, 0.71]	
3	20	6	20	6.1%	0.41 [0.09, 1.95]	
21	83	86	270	9.0%	0.72 [0.42, 1.27]	
	1842		1951	100.0%	0.33 [0.18, 0.63]	•
949		1438				
1.07; Chi	; I² = 91%					
	•	0.01 0.1 1 10 100 avours Intervention Favours TAU				
	Events 40 189 54 328 23 105 34 65 10 43 34 3 21	40 62 189 275 54 75 328 700 23 69 105 169 34 102 65 146 10 30 43 51 34 60 3 20 21 83 1842 949 1.07; Chi² = 141.	Events Total Events 40 62 50 189 275 197 54 75 54 328 700 635 23 69 33 105 169 105 34 102 82 65 146 79 10 30 10 43 51 51 34 60 50 3 20 6 21 83 86 1842 1438	Events Total Events Total 40 62 50 62 189 275 197 272 54 75 54 64 328 700 635 700 23 69 33 67 105 169 105 122 34 102 82 82 65 146 79 159 10 30 10 20 43 51 51 51 34 60 50 62 3 20 6 20 21 83 86 270 1842 1438 1.07; Chi² = 141.03, df = 12 (P <	Events Total Events Total Weight 40 62 50 62 8.4% 189 275 197 272 9.4% 54 75 54 64 8.3% 328 700 635 700 9.5% 23 69 33 67 8.7% 105 169 105 122 8.9% 34 102 82 82 3.3% 65 146 79 159 9.3% 10 30 10 20 7.3% 43 51 51 51 3.2% 34 60 50 62 8.4% 3 20 6 20 6.1% 21 83 86 270 9.0% 949 1438 1438 1.07; Chi²=141.03, df=12 (P<	Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, Random, 95% CI 40 62 50 62 8.4% 0.44 [0.19, 0.99] 189 275 197 272 9.4% 0.84 [0.58, 1.21] 54 75 54 64 8.3% 0.48 [0.21, 1.11] 328 700 635 700 9.5% 0.09 [0.07, 0.12] 23 69 33 67 8.7% 0.52 [0.26, 1.03] 105 169 105 122 8.9% 0.27 [0.15, 0.48] 34 102 82 82 3.3% 0.00 [0.00, 0.05] 65 146 79 159 9.3% 0.81 [0.52, 1.28] 10 30 10 20 7.3% 0.50 [0.16, 1.59] 43 51 51 51 3.2% 0.05 [0.00, 0.89] 34 60 50 62 8.4% 0.31 [0.14, 0.71] 3 20 6 20 6.1% 0.41 [0.09, 1.56]<







Systematic review

Meta-analysis of bed-day usage

	Intervention TAU				!	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
Agius 2010	23.63	47.75	62	30.66	33.74	62	7.9%	-0.17 [-0.52, 0.18]	
Bertelson 2008	96	146.7	275	123	170.6	272	12.8%	-0.17 [-0.34, -0.00]	
Boden 2010	38	37	75	59	78	64	8.3%	-0.35 [-0.69, -0.02]	
Chen 2011	61.6	105.5	700	113.7	141.6	700	14.3%	-0.42 [-0.52, -0.31]	+
Cocchi 2011	242	408.5	23	542	1,091.1	23	4.3%	-0.36 [-0.94, 0.23]	
Craig 2004	35.5	78.9	68	54.9	93.6	66	8.2%	-0.22 [-0.56, 0.12]	
Dodgson 2008	44.9	100.3	75	96.7	167.5	114	9.3%	-0.36 [-0.65, -0.06]	
Fowler 2009	45.1	113.8	102	165.5	109	82	8.9%	-1.07 [-1.38, -0.76]	
Goldberg 2006	43.65	60.9	159	60.18	90.67	146	11.2%	-0.22 [-0.44, 0.01]	
McGorry 1996	9.6	20.3	51	25.4	45.1	51	7.1%	-0.45 [-0.84, -0.06]	
Petrakis 2012	22.6	24.05	60	46.5	57	62	7.7%	-0.54 [-0.90, -0.18]	
Total (95% CI)			1650			1642	100.0%	-0.38 [-0.53, -0.24]	•
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.03; Chi ² = 30.67, df = 10 (P = 0.0007); I^2 = 67%								-2 -1 1 2	
Test for overall effect:	Z = 5.31	(P ≤ 0.	00001)					ı	-2 -1 U 1 2 Favours (experimental) Favours (control)







EPPIS project

Sought to evaluate the effectiveness of EPPIS

- Main outcomes
 - Hospital usage, and ED usage;
 - Suicidal behaviour;
 - Justice department data.





PATHs Studies

Methods

Administrative data

Manitoba Adolescent Treatment centre (MATC)

- Client info
- Linked to MCHP data repository

EPPIS clients (2003-2012)

Historical controls (1998-2001)

 Controls matched to diagnostic pattern of EPPIS clients prior to program entrance





PATHs Studies

Methods

Propensity-score adjustment for confounders

- SAS macro used to identify potential confounders
- Inverse-probability of treatment weights
- Average Treatment Effect (ATE)

During and post-treatment period assessed

- Odds ratios (binary variables)
- Hazard ratios (binary variables)
- Rate ratios (count variables)





Suicide and hospital-based service use

Results

	During treatment	After treatment
ED episodes	RR: 2.68 (1.56 to 4.58)	RR: 1.76 (1.19 to 2.60)
Hospital days	RR: 1.73 (0.57 to 5.22)	RR: 2.70 (1.35 to 5.40)
Inpatient episodes	RR: 1.37 (0.78 to 2.41)	RR: 2.30 (1.49 to 3.54)
Suicidal Behaviour	No events (p<0.0001)	HR: 0.39 (0.17 to 0.94)
Criminal accusations	OR: 0.48 (0.34 to 0.68)	OR: 0.62 (0.47 to 0.82)





Discussion/Conclusions

First study on justice effects Reduced suicidal behaviour

- During and after treatment
 Hospital outcomes conflict with literature
- Different program implementation
- Due to low service use before program
- Emphasis on treatment engagement





This study utilized data from Manitoba Health, Healthy Living and Seniors.

The results and conclusions are those of the authors and no official endorsement by Manitoba Health, Healthy Living and Seniors is intended or should be inferred.



\sim \sim Save the Date \sim \sim

Conference: 'Pathways to Health Equity: Levelling the Playing Field'

when: **May 30 – June 1, 2016**

where: Fort Garry Hotel, Winnipeg

- International knowledge exchange and dissemination event, building on the theme of 'from research to policy'
- Themes include: child health; social determinants of health & equity; economics & equity; measuring health equity; indigenous health; health interventions & equity; international health equity; ethics and equity; gender equity
- For more information, visit our website at:
 - http://www.umanitoba.ca/faculties/health_sciences/medicine/units/community_health_s ciences/departmental_units/mchp/paths_conference.html







NTURER NOVATOR CHALLENGER REBEL VISIO REBEL PIONEER CREATOR EXPLORER TRAILBLAZER INNOVATOR

ADVENTURER EXPLORER ADVENTURER TRAILBLAZER REBEL PIONEER CREATOR EXPLORER REBEL PIONEI

PIONEER CREATOR EXPLORER DEFENDER TRAILBLAZER REBEL PIONEER EXPLORER ADVENTURER TRAILBLAZER REBEL EXPLORER PIONEER DEFENDER TRAILBLAZER CREATOR

