



Institute of Health Policy, Management & Evaluation  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



# The Self-Management-Focused Chronic Care Model: A Conceptual Framework

Suman Budhwani  
PhD Student, IHPME, University of Toronto  
2013 CAHSPR Conference

IHPME

[www.ihpme.utoronto.ca](http://www.ihpme.utoronto.ca)

# Background

- Chronic diseases will be the epidemic of the 21<sup>st</sup> Century
- Most health care systems around the world are acute care-focused
  - Care is episodic, segmented, and centred around curative medicine<sup>1</sup>
  - NOT ideal for caring for chronic disease conditions which requires long-term and more maintenance/prevention-focused care<sup>1</sup>
- Discrepancy in patient needs and actual health care provision is causing poor patient outcomes and unnecessary health system costs<sup>2</sup>
- Many jurisdictions are currently adopting models of health care with chronic disease management focus
  - Australia, United Kingdom, United States & Canada<sup>3</sup>

# The Importance of Self-Management


- Patient self-management is considered to be central in chronic disease management<sup>4</sup>
  - Is the formal or informal practice of engaging in activities that enables a person to cope and manage the symptoms, treatment, physical, psychosocial, and lifestyle changes associated with a chronic condition on a day-to-day basis<sup>3</sup>
  - Includes activities related to the medical, role, and emotional management of chronic conditions<sup>5</sup> both in conjunction with and outside the health care system<sup>4</sup>
- However, lack of conceptual clarity on the definition of self-management<sup>6</sup>
  - Without conceptual clarity operationalization of variables for measurement of its success is difficult

# Research Questions

- How is successful self-management conceptualized?
- What are some key frameworks that can be used for the measurement of self-management?

# The Chronic Care Model (CCM)<sup>7</sup>

- Wagner's CCM has been used by many jurisdictions as the foundation on which to base their chronic disease care paradigms
- Comprises of 6 interdependent components including SM Support
  - Found to be the most effective<sup>3</sup>
  - Is the notion of “collaboratively helping clients and their families acquire the skills and confidence to manage their chronic illness, providing self-management tools...and routinely assessing problems and accomplishments”<sup>8</sup>
- Productive interactions between patient and provider result in improved outcomes<sup>11</sup>



## Limitations:

- The CCM is highly clinical in nature<sup>9</sup>
  - Is a framework for providers and health care organizations, not for patients
  - Highlights self-management support but not self-management – patient's perspective in self-management is not present
  - Does not help in understanding dynamic and relationship between self-management support and self-management


# B.C.'s Expanded Chronic Care Model (ECCM)<sup>9</sup>

- The ECCM includes elements of population health promotion
- Emphasis on the impact of community and health system



# Ontario's Chronic Disease Management Framework (CDPMF)<sup>10</sup>


- Based on B.C.'s model, emphasizing role of population health promotion factors such as the social determinants of health as well as the influence of communities.
- Expands on each element of model and inclusive of families





# Patient-Centred SM Models & Theories

- Greenhalgh's Ecological Model for Supported Self-Management of Chronic Illness (2009)<sup>11</sup>
- The Individual and Family SM Theory (Ryan & Sawin, 2009)<sup>12</sup>
- Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory (1986)<sup>13</sup>




Greenhalgh's Ecological Model for supported self-management of chronic illness (2009)

# Challenges

- No one model which:
  - Considered self-management distinctly from self-management support in the context of chronic disease management
  - Delineated the nature of the relationship between self-management support and self-management
  - Incorporated the patient's perspective in chronic disease management
  - Considered ecological factors affecting self-management
  - Defined how to measure successful self-management

# The Self-Management-Focused Chronic Care Model (SMFCCM)



# Strengths of SMFCCM

- Incorporates self-management as a separate process within chronic disease model and systems
- Incorporates the perspective of the patient and the factors affecting the patient in achieving positive health outcomes
- Hypothesizes the mechanism by which self-management support leads to self-management
  - Via patient activation through partnerships
- Defines what constitutes successful self-management (medical, emotional, and role management)
- Delineates where measurement of success should occur, and classifies measurement types

# Limitations of SMFCCM

- Is the model applicable to every chronic disease condition or will it need to be modified for each specific chronic condition?
- Validity of the model is uncertain – based on literature review, but testing of relationships is required
- Need to account for provider factors affecting SM support and patient factors affecting SM (eg. age, sex, education, race etc.)

# Next Steps

- Next steps will be to test causal relationship and any intermediate variables between self-management support and self-management (including intermediary variables)
- Delineate provider-specific and patient-specific factors affecting activation for self-management support and self-management

# Questions & Feedback

Acknowledgements: Dr. Jan Barnsley, Dr. Whitney Berta, Dr. Walter Wodchis, HSPRN Student Caucus, & Dr. Doris Howell

IHPME



# References

- 1 Rand, C., Vilis, E., Dort, N., & White, D. (2007). *Chapter 7: Chronic disease management*. Retrieved from <http://toolkit.cfpc.ca/en/continuity-of-care/documents/Chapter7.pdf>
- 2 Health Quality Ontario. (2012). *Quality Monitor*. Retrieved from <http://www.hqontario.ca/portals/0/Documents/pr/qmonitor-full-report-2012-en.pdf>
- 3 Johnston, S., Liddy, C., Ives, S., & Soto, E. (2008). *Literature review on chronic disease self-management*. Retrieved from <http://www.champlainhin.on.ca/Page.aspx?id=1200>
- 4 Holman, H. & Lorig, K. (2004). Patient self-management: A key to effectiveness and efficiency in care of chronic disease. *Public health reports*, 119, 239-243
- 5 Lorig, K. (1993). Self-management of chronic illness: A model for the future. *Generations*, 17, 11-14. Retrieved from EBSCOhost database.
- 6 Foster, C., Brown, J., Killen, M., & Brearley, S. (2007). The NCRI cancer experiences collaborative: Defining self-management. *European Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 11, 295-297. Retrieved from ScienceDirect database
- 7 Wagner, E.H. (1998). Chronic disease management: What will it take to improve care for chronic illness? *Effective Clinical Practice*, 1, 2-4. Retrieved from [http://www.acponline.org/clinical\\_information/journals\\_publications/ecp/augsep98/cdm.htm](http://www.acponline.org/clinical_information/journals_publications/ecp/augsep98/cdm.htm)
- 8 Bodenheimer, T., Wagner, E. H., & Grumbach, K. (2002). Improving primary care for patients with chronic illnesses. *JAMA*, 288, 1775-1779
- 9 Barr, V. J., Robinson, S., Marin-Link, B., Underhill, L., Dotts, A., Ravensdale, D., et al. (2003). The Expanded Chronic Care Model: An integration of concepts and strategies: from population health promotion and the Chronic Care Model. *Hospital Quarterly*, 7, 73-82. [Online Version]. Retrieved from <http://blogs.usask.ca/Shore/Chronic%20Care%20Model.pdf>
- 10 Government of Ontario. (2007). *Preventing and managing chronic disease: Ontario's framework*. Retrieved from [http://www.health.gov.on.ca/english/providers/program/cdpm/pdf/framework\\_full.pdf](http://www.health.gov.on.ca/english/providers/program/cdpm/pdf/framework_full.pdf)
- 11 Greenhalgh, T. (2009). Chronic illness: Beyond the expert patient. *British Medical Journal*, 338, 629-631. Retrieved from <http://www.bmj.com/content/338/bmj.b49>
- 12 Ryan, P. & Sawin, K.J. (2009). The individual and family self-management theory: Background and perspectives on context, process, and outcomes. *Nursing outlook*, 57, 217-225
- 13 Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- 14 Budhwani, S. (2012). *A scoping literature review on evaluation frameworks assessing successful self-management in palliative care populations*. (Unpublished manuscript). University of Toronto, Toronto
- 15 Budhwani, S. (2012). *The self-management-focused chronic care model*. (Unpublished manuscript). University of Toronto, Toronto